# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

# <u>Clerk's Minutes</u> <u>Before the Honorable James O. Browning</u>

CASE NO. No. CIV 22-0222 JB/KK DATE: 2/28/2023

<u>TITLE:</u> Voter Reference Foundation, LLC, et al. v. Balderas, et al.

<u>COURTROOM CLERK:</u> L. Rotonda <u>COURT REPORTER:</u> V. Byrd

COURT IN SESSION: 2:17 PM TOTAL TIME: 0:40

TYPE OF PROCEEDING: MOTION HEARING

#### **COURT RULING/DISPOSITION:**

1. Motion to Stay Scheduling Order Deadlines Pending Appeal [95] – granted in part, denied in part; see below.

## ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF(S): ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT(S):

Eddie Greim Erin Lecocq

Jackson Tyler Kelsey Schremmer

Carter Harrison

## **PROCEEDINGS:**

COURT IN SESSION: 2:15 PM

**COURT:** CALLS CASE, COUNSEL ENTER APPEARANCES.

COURT PROVIDES ITS INCLINATION AT OUTSET OF HEARING.

Ms. Lecocq: Addresses Court, argues in support of Motion to Stay Scheduling Order

DEADLINES PENDING APPEAL (Doc. 95).

COURT: QUERIES MS. LECOCQ REGARDING WHETHER ORAL ARGUMENT WILL OCCUR WITH

RESPECT TO APPEAL, WHEN THIS MIGHT OCCUR.

Ms. Lecocq: Responds to Court's queries.

COURT: QUERIES COUNSEL REGARDING WHICH DISCOVERY DEADLINES PARTY IS SPECIFICALLY

CONCERNED ABOUT.

Ms. Lecocq: Responds to Court's queries.

MR. GREIM: ARGUES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION.

**COURT:** QUERIES MR. GREIM.

MR. GREIM: RESPONDS TO COURT'S QUERIES, RESUMES ARGUING IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION.

Ms. Lecocq: Argues in Rebuttal as to motion.

**COURT:** INDICATES WILL CONTINUE TO MONITOR SITUATION BUT IN INTERIM WILL DENY MOTION IN PART, GRANT IN PART. FOR PRESENT TIME, WILL TAKE PRIOR RESTRAINT OUT OF CASE.

MR. GREIM: ADDRESSES COURT REGARDING MOTIONS DEADLINE, REQUESTS EXTENSION AS TO SAME.

Ms. Lecocq: Agrees.

**COURT:** WILL GRANT REQUEST, DIRECTS PARTIES TO FILE MOTION AND SUBMIT PROPOSED ORDER IF FURTHER EXTENSIONS ARE WARRANTED AND AGREED UPON.

**COURT IN RECESS: 2:58 PM**